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Summary:
This report advises Members of recent appeals that have been lodged and the outcomes of decisions made.

Recommendation(s)
The Development Control Board is asked to note this report.

1. **Appeals Lodged**
   
The following appeals have been lodged:
   
   None.

2. **Appeals Determined**

   2.1.1 The following appeals have been determined by the Planning Inspectorate:

   a) **Enforcement appeal – Unauthorised construction of rear extension – 143 New Road, Dagenham (River Ward)**

   Planning Inspectorate’s Decision: Appeal dismissed 21 December 2016 (see attached).

   b) **Enforcement appeal – Unauthorised change of use of single family dwellinghouse to a house in multiple occupation – 10 Wilthorne Gardens, Dagenham (Village Ward)**

   Planning Inspectorate’s Decision: Appeal dismissed 19 December 2016 (see attached).
c) Use of single dwelling as house in multiple occupation - 10 Wilthorne Gardens, Dagenham (Ref: 15/01444/FUL – Village Ward)

Application refused under delegated powers 27 January 2016 for the following reasons:

1. The proposed development would result in the loss of a 5 bedroom family house to the detriment of the stock of family housing in the borough, contrary to policy BC4 of the Borough wide Development Policies DPD (March 2011).

2. The proposed development, by virtue of the intensification of the existing single dwellinghouse use, would lead to a material increase in levels of noise and disturbance to the detriment of the residential amenity of neighbouring occupiers, contrary to policy BP8 of the Borough wide Development Policies DPD (March 2011).

3. The proposed development would exacerbate existing car parking pressure in Wilthorne Gardens, which is a narrow cul-de-sac, contrary to policies BR9 and BR10 of the Borough wide Development Policies DPD (March 2011).

Planning Inspectorate’s Decision: Appeal dismissed 19 December 2016 (see attached).